# Macroalgae and eelgrass mapping in Great Bay Estuary using AISA hyperspectral imagery



Shachak Pe'eri<sup>1</sup> (shachak@ccom.unh.edu), John R. Morrison<sup>2</sup>, Frederick Short<sup>3</sup>, Arthur Mathieson<sup>3</sup>, Philip Trowbridge<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Coastal and Ocean Mapping, University of New Hampshire <sup>2</sup>Ocean Process Analysis Laboratory, University of New Hampshire

<sup>3</sup>Jackson Estuary Laboratory, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH 03824 <sup>4</sup>N.H. Dept. of Environmental Services, Concord, NH 03302


# Abstract

NewHampshire EstuariesProject

Results Increases in nitrogen concentration and declining eelgrass beds in Great Bay Estuary have been observed in the last decades. These two parameters are clear indicators of the impending eutrophication for New Hampshire's estuaries. The NH Department of Environmental Services (DES) in collaboration with the Piscataqua Region Estuaries Partnership adopted the assumption that eelgrass survival can be used as the target for establishing numeric water quality criteria for nutrients in NH's estuaries. One of the hypotheses put forward regarding eelgrass decline is that an eutrophication response to nutrient increases in the Great Bay Estuary has been the proliferation of nuisance macroalgae, which has reduced eelgrass area in Great Bay Estuary. To determine the extent of this effect, mapping of eelgrass and nuisance macroalgae beds using hyperspectral imagery was suggested. A hyperspectral image was made by SpecTIR in August 2007 using an AISA Eagle sensor. The collected dataset was then used to map eelgrass and nuisance macroalgae throughout the Great Bay Estuary. Here we outline the procedure for mapping the macroalgae and eelarass beds. Hyperspectral imagery was effective where known spectral signatures could be easily identified. Comprehensive eelgrass and macroalgae maps of the estuary could only be produced by combining hyperspectral imagery with ground-truth information and expert opinion. Macroalgae was predominantly located in areas where eelgrass formerly existed. Macroalgae mats have now replaced nearly 9% of the area formerly occupied by eelgrass in Great Bay.

2.5 m

### **Eelgrass in GBE**





The eelorass (Zostera marina) in GBE is an essentia habitat because it provides food for wintering waterfowl and habitat for juvenile fish and shellfish. The eelgrass beds in Great Bay serve as sediment traps and help stabilize bottom sediments. Additionally, eelgrass filters estuarine waters, removing both nutrients and suspended sediments from the water column. Recent decline of eelgrass biomass in the intertidal portions of the estuary has also been linked to nitrogen enrichment and proliferation of nuisance macroalgae

#### ACKNOWLEGEMENT


This project was funded in part by a grant from the New Hampshire Estuaries Project as authorized by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's National Estuary Program and UNH/NOAA Joint Hydrographic Center grant NA05NOS4001153.

## **GBE Hyperspectral Survey 2007**






A spectra collected from a hyperspectral dataset that represents a spectrally "pure" feature is defined as a spectral end-member. Regions of Interest (ROI) containing similar underwater features were identified and spectral signature (spectral value as a function of wavelength) for each ROI.



In natural environments the spectral signature from the seafloor is often complicated due to the water-column attenuation. Both the water column and bottom contribute to the water-leaving radiance with their relative contributions being modulated by water depth. The attenuation changes as a function of wavelength



Results



Eelgrass (green) and macroalgea (vellow) distribution overlaid on the survey project mosaic